UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,368	09/21/2006	Thomas Friedlaender	30071/41842	9060
	7590 11/17/201 <b>GERSTEIN &amp; BORUN</b>	EXAMINER		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ACKER DRIVE	TISCHLER, FRANCES		
CHICAGO, IL	=		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/575,368 FRIEDLAE		FRIEDLAENDER E	T AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	THURINGES HESTILLIN	1700	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejectio	n.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);	
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li></ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) X They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-17 and 21-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>18-20</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fail: See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attache	∍d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/JAMES J. SEIDLECK/	/Frances Tischler/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1765	Examiner, Art Unit 1765		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant submits that before detection 22, the temperatures of conveyor 18 in TACITO are set up to drive away the trapped volatiles from the material and are not adapted to any degree of contamination as a decontamination process parameter of either temperature or time, as presently claimed.

Applicant's argument is not convincing. The rejection has been discussed in the previous office action of 9/9/11. After the heating step in 18, the detection system 22 decides which plastics are accepted and which plastics are not accepted due to being above a threshold value of contamination. The accepted plastics then go though the temperature decontamination process of washing rinsing, drying, etc. which is based on the analysis of 22.

Also similarly to the claimed invention, TACITO analyzes the degree of contamination (see figure 1) and continues analyzing the degree of contamination throughout the process (see figure 2), thus reading on the claimed process time as the process parameter. Depending on the contamination level, TACITO washes, separates, rinses, dries, stores, discards, etc., thus reading on the claimed conducting a decontamination according to the determination of the process parameter.

TACITO's process is in continual communication with a computerized database that determines each and every decontamination process as the plastics go a comprehensive decontamination process.